

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/630,083 | ZAMORA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Jennifer H. Gay | 3672 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Jennifer H. Gay.

(3) _____.

(2) Grant Rodolph.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

27 and 45

Prior art documents discussed:

NA


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


Jennifer H. Gay
 Primary Examiner

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant to inform them that the case was incondition for allowance except for two minor error in the claims. The errors were that claim 27 depended from claim 25 which had been cancelled and claim 45 depended from claim 33 which had also been cancelled. Applicant agreed to change the dependency to claim 27 to claim 1 and that of claim 45 to claim 29. An examiner's amendment to follow..